

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/410,592	10/01/1999	LAURA KREBS BROWN	6664MR	7073
27752 7	7590 03/11/2003			
	ER & GAMBLE CO	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTONI	THE EN HOMBER
			1771	<i>/</i> >
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AS-12

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/410,592

Brown et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

**Ula Corinna Ruddock** 

Art Unit **1771** 

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing - If the c	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In n particular of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an	o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
- Failure - Any re	before for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply with to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 7, 200				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action	on is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 18-35	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-17	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de				
11)	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	• • • • • •	e been received in Application No.			
*5	3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bures see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisiona				
15)💢					
Attachn	nent(s)				
1) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) 🔲 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 lr	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/410,592 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 7, 2003, has been entered.
- 2. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed January 7, 2003. All rejections have been overcome.

#### Election/Restriction

3. This application contains claims 18-35 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No 5. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

## Double Patenting

4. Claims 1-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2-12, 14, 16-49, 52-67, and 69-109 of copending Application No. 09/082,349. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims are drawn to a cleaning sheet comprising one or more high basis weight regions having a basis weight of from

Application/Control Number: 09/410,592 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

about 30 to about 120 g/m² and one or more low basis weight regions, wherein the low basis weight region has a basis weight that is not more than about 80% of the basis weight of the high basis weight regions and wherein the high basis weight region and the low basis weight region co-exist in at least one X-Y dimension of the cleaning sheet wherein at least about %5 of the cleaning sheet's total surface area is comprises of low basis weight regions, whereas the present claims are drawn to a cleaning sheet having regions of high basis weight and low basis weight, wherein said high basis weight is from about 30 g/m² to about 120 g/m² and said low basis weight is not more than about 80% of said high basis weight.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is (703) 305-0066. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor Terrel Morris can be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Wa Ruddock

Ula C. Ruddock WUK

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1771

March 7, 2003